



THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH



Lower Genital Tract Neoplasia – HPV and Beyond

C Simon Herrington Edinburgh Cancer Research Centre Institute of Genetics and Cancer University of Edinburgh Western General Hospital Edinburgh, UK

Outline

- HPV biology
 - Rationale for p16 as a biomarker for high-risk HPV infection
- Cervical lesions
 - WHO 2020 classification
 - Squamous lesions, HPV-associated and HPV-independent
 - Glandular lesions, HPV-associated and HPV-independent
- Vulval lesions
 - WHO 2020 classification
 - Squamous lesions, HPV-associated and HPV-independent

Human Papillomaviruses

- Non-enveloped, double-stranded DNA viruses
- 8 kb circular genome
- At least 200 genotypes
 - pave.niaid.nih.gov/



• Epitheliotropic

© Physicians' Research Network, Inc. All rights reserved. Published in The PIW Notebook, Volume 6, Number 3, September 2001 and The PIW Notebook Online at www.pm.org. These-dimensional model of HPV created by Louis E. Henderson, Ph.D., Frederick Cancer Revearch Center.

- High-risk vs low-risk HPV types
- Require squamous differentiation for replication



Molecular Organisation

Doorbar J Clin Sci 2006;110:525-41

The Papillomavirus Life Cycle



Doorbar J Clin Sci 2006;110:525-41





HPV and Neoplastic Progression



p16 in a Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion Block-type Positivity



This is defined as continuous strong nuclear or nuclear plus cytoplasmic staining of the basal cell layer with extension upward involving at least one third of the epithelial thickness. The latter height restriction is somewhat arbitrary but adds specificity (Darragh et al Int J Gynecol Pathol 2013; 32: 76-11)

p16 in a Glandular Lesion Diffuse positivity



p16 Immunohistochemistry



Klaes R et al Am J Surg Pathol 2002;11: 1389-99

HPV-associated Neoplasia

- High vs low-risk HPV types
- Productive vs abortive/transforming infection
- Up-regulation of E6/E7 expression key
- p16 protein expression is a surrogate marker of high-risk HPV E7 expression

Issues with p16

- p16 positive low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions
- p16 negative high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions
- Tendency to up-grade p16 does NOT grade squamous intraepithelial lesions
 - 'Any identified p16-positive area must meet H&E morphologic criteria for a high-grade lesion to be reinterpreted as such' (LAST)
- The effect of primary HPV testing
- False positive lesions (e.g. some gastric-type adenocarcinomas of cervix; occasional HPV-independent vulval squamous cell carcinomas)

Cervical screening protocol in Scotland – Primary HPV Testing



Consequence: All biopsies and LETZs/LEEPs are from patients whose smears are high-risk HPV positive, cytology positive

WHO Classification 2020, Cervical Squamous Lesions

- Low grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (including CIN 1)
- High grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (CIN 2 and CIN 3)
- Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-associated
- Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-independent
- Squamous cell carcinoma, not otherwise specified (NOS)
- p16 immunohistochemistry is acceptable as a surrogate marker of HPV for HPV-associated tumours
- HPV DNA testing is recommended for HPV-independent tumours
- Up to 7% of squamous cell carcinomas are HPV-independent (Nicolas et al Mod Pathol 2019; 32: 1189-1196)
- The NOS category is acceptable only where p16/HPV testing are not available



WHO Classification 2020, Cervical Glandular Lesions

- Adenocarcinoma in situ, HPV-associated (High grade CGIN)
- Adenocarcinoma, HPV-associated (p16 positivity not essential for diagnosis)
 - Usual type
 - Mucinous type
- Adenocarcinoma in situ, HPV independent (including LEGD)
- Adenocarcinoma, HPV-independent, gastric type
- Adenocarcinoma, HPV-independent, clear cell type
- Adenocarcinoma, HPV-independent, mesonephric type
- Other adenocarcinomas (includes very rare endometrioid carcinomas associated with endometriosis)

HPV and Glandular Neoplasia

- Endocervical epithelium does not support HPV replication
- Productive infection does not occur
- HPV-related lesions of the endocervical epithelium are neoplastic
- They most likely arise from reserve cell or junctional cell infection, possibly with early HPV integration

Adenocarcinoma, HPV-associated





Adenocarcinoma, HPV-associated



Adenocarcinoma, HPV-associated



Mixed Adenocarcinoma and High-grade Neuroendocrine Carcinoma, HPV-associated

p16

Synaptophysin









WHO Classification 2020, Cervical Glandular Lesions

- Adenocarcinoma in situ, HPV-associated (High grade CGIN)
- Adenocarcinoma, HPV-associated (p16 positivity not essential for diagnosis)
 - Usual type
 - Mucinous type
- Adenocarcinoma in situ, HPV independent (including LEGD)
- Adenocarcinoma, HPV-independent, gastric type
- Adenocarcinoma, HPV-independent, clear cell type
- Adenocarcinoma, HPV-independent, mesonephric type
- Other adenocarcinomas (includes very rare endometrioid carcinomas associated with endometriosis)

Gastric-type Cervical Adenocarcinoma

Outcomes	GAS $(n = 38)$, n (%)	UEA (n = 139), n (%)	P *
NED	20 (52.6)	126 (91)	< 0.001
AWD	3 (7.9)	1 (1)	
DOD	15 (39.5)	12 (8)	
Outcomes	Non-MDA ($n = 26$), n (%)	MDA (n = 12), n (%)	P *
NED	13 (50)	6 (50)	0.66
AWD	3 (12)	0 (0)	
DOD	10 (38)	6 (50)	

*2-sided exact.

РМН	n (%)
None	33 (82.5)
Peutz-Jeghers syndrome	1 (2.5)
Li-Fraumeni syndrome with breast cancer	1 (2.5)
Breast cancer	2 (5.0)
Colon cancer	1 (2.5)
Wilms + pheochromocytoma + renal cell carcinoma	1(2.5)
Mucinous BAC lung (KRAS mutation)	1 (2.5)



Stage at presentation

Karamurzin et al, Am J Surg Pathol 2015; 39: 1449-1457





Gastric-type Cervical Adenocarcinoma



A – all stages; B – stage I



	GAS	UEA
Precursor lesions	LEGH	Adenocarcinoma in situ
Location	Upper endocervical canal	Transformation zone
HPV associated	No	Yes
p16 IHC	Negative or focal	Diffusely positive
Presentation	Often at high stage	Uncommonly high stage

Karamurzin et al, Am J Surg Pathol 2015; 39: 1449-1457

Cervical Mesonephric Adenocarcinoma



Pors et al, Am J Surg Pathol 2021; 45: 498-506

Clear Cell Carcinoma of Cervix



Stolnicu et al, Am J Surg Pathol 2022; 46: 765-773

WHO Classification 2020, Vulval Squamous Lesions

- Low-grade SIL (usually condyloma)
- High-grade SIL (VIN 2/3)
- Differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (dVIN)
 - Differentiated exophytic vulvar intraepithelial lesion (DEVIL)
 - Vulvar acanthosis with altered differentiation (VAAD)
- Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-associated
- Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-independent
- Squamous cell carcinoma, NOS

Green = associated with low-risk HPV Red = associated with high-risk HPV Blue = not associated with high-risk HPV Black = unknown

Two Pathways to Vulval Neoplasia

HPV-related

- Young women
- Warty/basaloid (undifferentiated) vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (VIN)
- Warty/basaloid carcinoma
- Associated with other intraepithelial lesions
- Same HPV types as CIN
- Predominance of HPV 16
- Mechanisms probably similar
- p16 is a surrogate marker







HSIL (Usual-type VIN)





Two Pathways to Vulval Neoplasia

HPV-independent

- Older women
- Associated with lichen sclerosus
- HPV-independent precursor lesions
 - Differentiated (simplex type) VIN



- Vulval acanthosis with altered differentiation (VAAD)
- Differentiated exophytic vulval intraepithelial lesion (DEVIL)
- Often well differentiated squamous cell carcinoma but clinically aggressive
- p16 typically negative









HPV and Morphology

- 69.5% basaloid SCC HPV positive (n=326)
- 11.5% keratinising SCC HPV positive (n=1234)

- 90.3% usual type VIN HPV positive (n=535)
- 48.9% differentiated type VIN HPV positive (n=48)

WHO Classification 2020, Vulval Squamous Lesions

- Low-grade SIL (usually condyloma)
- High-grade SIL (VIN 2/3)
- Differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (dVIN)
 - Differentiated exophytic vulvar intraepithelial lesion (DE-VIL)
 - Vulvar acanthosis with altered differentiation (VAAD)
- Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-associated
- Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-independent
- Squamous cell carcinoma, NOS

Green = associated with low-risk HPV Red = associated with high-risk HPV Blue = not associated with high-risk HPV Black = unknown

dVIN and HPV-independent SCC

Modern Pathology (2020) 33:1595-1605 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41379-020-0524-1

ARTICLE

XUSCAP

Chock for updutza

Major p53 immunohistochemical patterns in in situ and invasive squamous cell carcinomas of the vulva and correlation with *TP53* mutation status

Basile Tessier-Cloutier $^{1,2} \cdot$ Kim E. Kortekaas³ \cdot Emily Thompson² \cdot Jennifer Pors^{1,2} \cdot Julia Chen² \cdot Julie Ho² \cdot Leah M. Prentice⁴ \cdot Melissa K. McConechy⁴ \cdot Christine Chow $^{5} \cdot$ Lily Proctor⁶ \cdot Jessica N. McAlpine⁶ \cdot David G. Huntsman^{2,5,7} \cdot C. Blake Gilks^{1,2,5} \cdot Tjalling Bosse⁸ \cdot Lynn N. Hoang^{1,2,5}

Histopathology

Histopathology 2020, 77, 92-99. DOI: 10.1111/his.14109

Performance of the pattern-based interpretation of p53 immunohistochemistry as a surrogate for *TP53* mutations in vulvar squamous cell carcinoma

Kim E Kortekaas,¹ Nienke Solleveld-Westerink,² Basile Tessier-Cloutier,³ Tessa A Rutten,² Mariëtte I E Poelgeest,¹ C Blake Gilks,³ Lien N Hoang^{3.*} & Tjalling Bosse^{2.*} ¹Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, ²Department of Pathology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands, and ³Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Vancouver General Hospital, Vancouver, BC, Canada Modern Pathology (2021) 34:508–518 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41379-020-00651-3



ARTICLE

Molecular characterization of invasive and in situ squamous neoplasia of the vulva and implications for morphologic diagnosis and outcome

Basile Tessier-Cloutier $^{1,2} \cdot$ Jennifer Pors $^{1} \cdot$ Emily Thompson $^{2} \cdot$ Julie Ho¹ · Leah Prentice³ · Melissa McConechy³ · Rosalia Aguirre-Hernandez³ · Ruth Miller³ · Samuel Leung⁴ · Lily Proctor⁵ · Jessica N. McAlpine⁵ · David G. Huntsman^{2,4} · C. Blake Gilks^{1,4} · Lynn N. Hoang^{1,4}

Histopathology



Histopathology 2021, 79, 975-988. DOI: 10.1111/his.14451

DEVIL, VAAD and vLSC constitute a spectrum of HPV-independent, p53-independent intra-epithelial neoplasia of the vulva

Simon F Roy,^{1,2} Jahg Wong,^{1,2} Cécile Le Page,¹ Danh Tran-Thanh,¹ Maroie Barkati,³ Annick Pina,⁴ Vincent Quoc-Huy Trinh⁵ & Kurosh Rahimi^{1,2}

¹Département de Pathologie, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, University of Montréal, Montréal, Canada, ²Département de Pathologie et de Biologie Cellulaire, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada, ³Département de Radio-oncologie, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada, ⁴Département d'Obstétrique et Gynécologie, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada, and ⁵Department of Surgery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA



p53 Expression Patterns

B WILD-TYPE PATTERNS

MUTANT PATTERNS



From Tessier-Cloutier et al, Mod Pathol 2020; 33: 1595-1605

Α



Remaining Issues

- Potential therapeutic relevance
 - Imiquimod
 - Other agents
 - Surgical management
- Refinement of clinicopathological studies and clinical trials using HPV-based classification
- Further molecular investigation of *TP53*-mutant and wild-type HPV-independent squamous lesions, including VAAD and DE-VIL

Summary

- HPV infection dominates lower genital tract pathology
- Squamous lesions are associated with both low- and high-risk HPV infection, glandular lesions with high-risk HPV infection
- p16, Ki67 and p53 immunohistochemistry are useful for the diagnosis and stratification of HPV-associated and HPV-independent lesions of the lower genital tract
- HPV-independent lesions are increasingly recognised and are included in the WHO 2020 classification
- HPV testing and vaccination are changing disease distribution

e.g. Falcaro et al, Lancet 2021; 398: P2084-P2092

